

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18387 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-420 Year-2025 Thana- SURYAPURA District- Rohtas

1. Lalbabu Sah @ Lallu Sah S/o Late Chandarma Sah R/o Village - Kalyani, P.S - Suryapura, District - Rohtas
2. Birendra Sah S/o Late Chandrama Sah R/o Village - Kalyani, P.S - Suryapura, District - Rohtas
3. Ravindra Sah S/o Late Chandrama Sah R/o Village - Kalyani, P.S - Suryapura, District - Rohtas
4. Banti Sah @ Banti Kumar Sah S/o Ravindra Sah R/o Village - Kalyani, P.S - Suryapura, District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

Mr.Ashutosh Tripathy

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(1), 190, 109, 352, 351(2), 118(1) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that accused persons threw his pipe



kept for irrigating the field and Lalu assaulted Manoj by rod causing injury on head and thereafter, other accused also came and assaulted.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner nos.2, 3 and 4, but then, as far as petitioner no.1 is concerned, allegation against him is of assaulting Manoj by rod causing injury on head. It is next submitted that Suryapura P. S. Case No. 421 of 2025 was instituted by the side of the petitioners against the informant and his side. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged against the petitioner no.1 to be true without admitting, then the blow is not alleged to be repeated. It is further submitted that even petitioner no.1 suffered grievous injury i.e. fracture of his hand.

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application. The learned counsel appearing



on behalf of the informant is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that there is no specific allegation of assault alleged against petitioner nos.2, 3, 4, but then, it is submitted that petitioner no.1 is alleged to have assaulted Manoj by rod causing injury on head which is vital part of the body and the injury has been opined to be grievous in nature. It is also submitted that no doubt, even petitioner no.1 suffered grievous injury in the assault, but then, the same is not on vital part of the body.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the **petitioner nos.2, 3 and 4**, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Suryapura P. S. Case No.420 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.



7. The application stands allowed.

8. So far as **petitioner no.1** is concerned, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner no.1.

9. The prayer of the petitioner no.1 for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

