

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18226 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-99 Year-2025 Thana- PALIGANJ District- Patna

1. Guddu Kumar @ Guddu Chaudhary Son of Bachchan Chaudhary @ Bachan Chaudhary
 2. Vinod Chaudhary @ Binod Kumar Son of Amarnath Chaudhary @ Baijnath Chaudhary.
- Both Resident of Village - Milki, P.S.- Paliganj, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jai Narain Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2026 Heard Mr.Ashok Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for

the petitioners and Mr.Jai Narain Thakur, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Paliganj P.S.Case No.99 of 2025, FIR dated 20.02.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126, 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, all accused persons named in the FIR who were armed with iron rod and deadly weapons came at the house of the informant and assaulted his family members. They also snatched their gold ornaments.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have clean antecedent. It appears from the FIR that due to some petty dispute the present occurrence had taken place. There is case and counter case. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioners that they have assaulted to the son of the informant, namely, Sunny Kumar and he has received the injury but the injury report of the son of the informant suggests that the injury is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioners have clean antecedent, injury inflicted upon the injured person is simple in nature and there is case and counter case, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand)each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Danapur, Patna in connection with Paliganj P.S.Case No.99 of 2025,subject to the



conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

