

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17580 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2025 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

Bechan Yadav @ Bechan Prasad Yadav S/o Late Bhuvneshwar Yadav R/vill-
Shankerpur, Milki Tola, P.S.- Muffasil, Distt- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jyoti Ranjan Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-03-2026 Heard Mr.Jyoti Ranjan Jha, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
16.12.2025 in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 79 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 15.03.2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 191(2),191(3),190,103(1),109 of
BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, all the FIR named
accused persons including the petitioner came at the door of the
informant and made indiscriminate firing upon which informant
and his family members due to which informant's son died
during treatment.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Further submits that from a bare perusal of the FIR it appears that although petitioner is named in the FIR but there is no specific allegation of any assault, overt-act or firing attributed against the petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner and co-accused person, namely, Anil Yadav, against whom the similar allegation, has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 27.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No.82209 of 2025, co-accused person, namely, Mintu Yadav @ Mantu Yadav has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 20.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No.80761 of 2025 and co-accused person, namely, Devan Yadav has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 20.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No.90350 of 2025 respectively. The police, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 16.12.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the



present one but he fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the case case, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, there is no specific allegation of any assault, overt-act or firing attributed against the petitioner and co-accused persons have been granted bail by different Coordinate Benches of this Hon'ble Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Munger in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 79 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his



criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

