

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18966 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2025 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

Chandan Kumar Sharma S/o Ishwar Sharma R/o Baradhi Gola, Akodhi Gola,
Rohtas, P.S.- Akodhi, Distt.- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar through Vigilance Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Hemant Kumar, Advocate Mr. Hemant Kumar, Advocate Mr. Gaurav Prakash, Advocate Mr. Vijay Kumar Sah, Advocate Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 23-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the Vigilance.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Vigilance Special Case No. 03 of 2026 arising out of
Vigilance P.S. Case No. 107 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 7(a), 7(c), 12 of the P.C. Act and
61(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, the pay
fixation of the informant and other teachers was pending before
the Block Education Officer (B.E.O.), and they have talked to
him on 16.11.2025. He has assured that he will fix their pay and



will charge Rs. 1,500/- per person and directed them to hand over the service book to Data Operator Chandan Kumar Sharma (the petitioner). As the informant was suffering losses from non-fixation of the pay, he was ready to pay the demanded amount. In pre-and post-trap, it was found that the petitioner had received Rs. 14,000/- and was caught red-handed.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case by the complainant in collusion with Vigilance personnel. He also submits that in this case, the main thrust of allegation is against the Block Education Officer. Petitioner is only a Data Entry Operator. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 13.12.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned counsel for the Vigilance and has submitted that the petitioner may be directed to cooperate in the trial and shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned court below.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is



inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with the condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned court below.** The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge Vigilance, Patna in connection with Special Case No. 03 of 2026 arising out of Vigilance P.S. Case No. 107 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

