

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.977 of 2025**

**In**

**Letters Patent Appeal No.1117 of 2018**

Syed Naushadun Nabi Son of Late Syed Fakhrun Nabi, Resident of Mohalla-Gagandewan Ward No 23, P.S. Bihar Sharif, District Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Barh Municipality through Sanjay Kumar, son of not known, Chairman Barh Municipality, Barh and District Patna.
3. Sri Santosh Kumar Rajak, the Executive Officer, Barh Municipality, Barh and District Patna.
4. Seem Nizami, Wife of Syed Badi Ashgar, Resident of Mohalla Salimpur, Ward No. 9, P.O. and P.S. and Town Barh and District- Patna.
5. Surji Devi, Wife of Ram Parvesh Sao, Resident of Mohalla Walipur, P.O., P.S. and Town Barh and District Patna.
6. Rabiya Khatoon, widow of Late Amin Ahmad, (Original Petitioner No. 1) Resident of Mohalla - Salimpur, Ward No. 12 (Old Ward No. 03), P.O. and P.S. Barh, District- Patna.
7. Shamim Ahmad, Son of Late Amin Ahmad (deceased of Petitioner No.1) Resident of Mohalla - Salimpur, Ward No. 12 (Old Ward No. 03), P.O. and P.S. Barh, District- Patna.
8. Samin Ahmad, Son of Late Amin Ahmad Resident of Mohalla - Salimpur, Ward No. 12 (Old Ward No. 03), P.O. and P.S. Barh, District- Patna.
9. Firoj Ahmad, Son of Late Amin Ahmad (deceased of Petitioner No. 1), Resident of Mohalla - Salimpur, Ward No. 12 (Old Ward No. 03), P.O. and P.S. Barh, District- Patna.
10. Nilofar, daughter of Late Amin Ahmad, Resident of Mohalla - Salimpur, Ward No. 12 (Old Ward No. 03), P.O. and P.S. Barh, District- Patna.
11. Syed Fakher Ahsan, Son of Syed Rayaj Ahsan, Resident of Mohalla-Salempur, Ward No. 21/10, P.O. and P.S. Barh, District - Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                            |   |                                     |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s       | : | Mr. Mohammed Abu Haidar, Advocate   |
| For the State              | : | Mr. Yogendra Prasad Sinha, Advocate |
| For the Barh Municipality: |   | Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate           |
| For the Res. No. 5         | : | Mr. Mukund Kumar, Advocate          |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR**

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)**



7 20-01-2026

The present contempt application has been preferred against the order dated 27.02.2020 passed in L.P.A. No. 1117 of 2018, wherein a direction was given to the respondents to dispose of the representation filed by the appellant/petitioner in accordance with law.

2. A show-cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no.3. Following statement has been made in paragraph 9:-

*9. That, in making compliance of the order and direction passed by this Hon'ble Court, the Deponent after giving opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner and after examining the order and direction passed by this Hon'ble Court in Writ Petition as well as L.P.A. No. 1117 of 2018 and after perusing the documents on records rejected the representation of the Petitioner vide order dated 10.11.2025 and copy of the same has been duly communicated to the Petitioner vide Memo No. 1621, dated 10.11.2025.*

3. In light of the statements, as referred above, and the materials placed on record, we are of the considered view that the directions issued by this Court have been duly complied with and no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt application.



4. Accordingly, the contempt application stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order passed by the respondent authority, if so advised.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(Sudhir Singh, J)**

**( Ritesh Kumar, J)**

Ajay/Rajesh/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

