

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17749 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2026 Thana- PANDAUL District- Madhubani

Wasiullah S/o Md. Masuk Resident of Village- Bhagwatipur, P.S.- Pandaul,
District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gagandeo Yadav, Advocate. Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No.1, A.P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Rananjay Dubey, Advocate. Mr. Bishwa Nath Mahto, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 25-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Pandaul P.S. Case No.8 of 2026 instituted under Sections
126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 303(2), 74, 76 & 3(5) of the B.N.S.,
2023.
3. As per the prosecution case, all the accused persons
including the petitioner assembled near the house of informant
and started abusing on the issue of throwing garbage. It is
alleged that accused persons including the petitioner assaulted
the informant and her son with sharp cutting weapon causing
injury to them. It is further alleged that accused persons tried to



outrage the modesty of informant and also snatched nose pin worth Rs.14,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that in the written report submitted by the informant, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the specific allegation is made against Md. Masuk for causing injury on the head of the informant. Learned counsel submits that the informant in her re-statement developed her case and levelled allegation of assault on her head against the petitioner which is contradictory to the F.I.R. He further submits that the F.I.R. was lodged after delay of 2 days of the occurrence without any plausible explanation. Learned counsel submits that both the parties are next door neighbor and the dispute arose for petty matters. He further submits that petitioner is a young boy of 20 years, having got clean antecedent and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial of the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and the



nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as his clean antecedent, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Madhubani/ concerned Court in connection with Pandaul P.S. Case No.8 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

