

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19799 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2025 Thana- NAGARNAUSA District- Nalanda

1. Sashi Kumar @ Sashibhushan Kumar S/o Ashok Paswan R/o Village - Goraipur, P.S.- Nagarnausa, District - Nalanda
2. Vijay Paswan S/o Banke Paswan R/o Village - Goraipur, P.S.- Nagarnausa, District - Nalanda
3. Ajay Paswan S/o Banke Paswan R/o Village - Goraipur, P.S.- Nagarnausa, District - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Apurv Harsh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Nagarnausa P.S. Case No. 168 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 351(3) and 103 of the BNS, 2023 read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 has antecedent of two cases, petitioner no. 2 and 3 have antecedent of one case and are in custody since 03.02.2026. It is further submitted that similar situated co-



accused Ashok Paswan had approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.76980/2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 17.11.2025 passed by a learned coordinate Bench. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that a minor on account of firing died during course of treatment but then specific allegation of firing is against Raj Kumar and no specific allegation of assault of firing is alleged against the petitioners.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the regular bail application and submits that if privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioners, the petitioners will abscond, on which, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove their innocence.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the petitioners above-named, are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Nagarnausa P.S. Case No.168 of 2025.

6. Further, one of the bailors of the petitioner no.1



shall be his close relative, one of the bailors of the petitioner no.2 shall be his close relative and one of the bailors of the petitioner no.3 shall be his son, namely, Chotu Kumar

7. It is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioners after their release are trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial, in both the conditions, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

