

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20019 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2026 Thana- CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- Saran

Nigam Kumar Singh Son of Late Neeraj Kumar Singh @ Late Guddu Singh
@ Late Neeraj Singh Resident of Village- Fakuli, P.S.- Chhapra Muffasil,
District- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. X D/o Surendra Kumar Singh Resident of Village- Sadhpur Chhatar, P.S.-
Daudnagar, District- Saran at Chapra

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Radha Mohan Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 01-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chhapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352 and 64 of the BNS and under Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is said to have established physical relationship with the informant after promising marriage and subsequently he is said to have resiled from that promise.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 7.2.2026.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the informant and the petitioner have got married in a temple but



the petitioner, thereafter, had deserted the informant. He further submits that the petitioner has settled the dispute and he is ready for a proper marriage under the Hindu Marriage Act with the informant and in this regard, a compromise petition has been filed.

6. In view of the aforesaid submissions, this application is disposed of with a direction to the court below to release the petitioner on provisional bail for four weeks upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned court below in connection with Chhapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026.

7. During the period of four weeks from the date of his release, if the petitioner marries the informant and the informant supports the factum of marriage between her and the petitioner then the provisional bail granted to the petitioner shall be confirmed and if the informant does not supports the factum of marriage then appropriate orders shall be passed by the court below.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at



any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

