

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17802 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-660 Year-2025 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

Khalid Anwar @ Guddu Khan S/O Muneer Alam Resident of Village-
Narayanpur, Ward no.- 7, P.s.- Ramnagar, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surbhi Bhushan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 660 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 324(4), 351(2), 334(1), 329(4), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner, along with others, was cutting the shutter of the godown of the informant with a gas cutter. As the informant and his son came down from their house, they found that there were altogether eight persons who had cut the shutter and had also looted articles worth ten lakhs of rupees. It is specifically alleged that the petitioner has assaulted the son of the informant with farsa on his head. He further submits that all the accused



persons assaulted.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the injury report of the son of the informant, though he has received six injuries, all injuries were caused by a hard and blunt object. He also submits that there is a specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the son of the informant with a *farsa*. *Farsa* is a sharp cutting weapon and the son of the informant has not received any *farsa* injury. He further submits that though the petitioner has a criminal antecedent of 20 cases, however regarding antecedent, learned counsel for the informant has submitted that as per the order of the learned trial court, the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of 21 cases. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 07.12.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State. Learned counsel for the informant has stated that the petitioner is a habitual offender and has brutally assaulted the informant and his son.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is



inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with the conditions that the petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned trial court and shall also mark his weekly attendance at Ramnagar P.S.** The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 660 of 2025.

7. Learned trial court is further directed to verify the antecedents of the petitioner, if he is having criminal antecedent of more than 20 cases, his bail bond should not be accepted.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

