

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17661 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-726 Year-2017 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

1. Bhura Dom @ Vikram Kumar S/o Dharmendra Dom R/o Mohalla - Ambedkar Nagar, P.S and District - Jehanabad
2. Bhuali Dom @ Sagar Kumar S/o Dharmendra Dom R/o Mohalla - Ambedkar Nagar, P.S and District - Jehanabad
3. Dharmendra Dom @ Dhodhi Dom S/o Late Bhuletan Dom R/o Mohalla - Ambedkar Nagar, P.S and District - Jehanabad
4. Azad Dom S/o Late Bhuletan Dom R/o Mohalla - Ambedkar Nagar, P.S and District - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 726 of 2017, F.I.R dated 23.10.2017 registered for the offences punishable under Section 147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 341, 448, 380, 427, 504, 506 and 307 of IPC.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 14.10.2017 between 4–5 PM, when the informant was at home with her daughters and son-in-law, all the accused persons, including the petitioners, forcibly entered her house, some climbing to the roof, and began looting. Certain accused persons were armed with pistols and threatened to shoot family members, while one



accused attempted to attack the informant with a sword, which she narrowly escaped. The accused allegedly broke open a locker and a box, looting total of Rs.1,00,000/- along with ornaments. When nearby persons arrived upon hearing the alarm, they were also assaulted by the accused persons, who then fled from the place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that allegation against these petitioners appears general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that there is no specific allegation against these petitioners to assault informant as well as her family members and apart from that petitioners were not seen having any arms in their hands. It is submitted that similarly situated co-accused persons have already granted anticipatory bail from the learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court through Cr. Misc. No. 73616 of 2018 dated 20.12.2018.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as allegation appears general and omnibus against these petitioners, who are men of clean antecedent. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, be released on



anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Jehanabad in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 726 of 2017 subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 with other following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;
- (ii) The petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;
- (iii) The petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;
- (iv) The petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J.)

S.Tripathi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

