

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17944 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-355 Year-2023 Thana- PARIHAR District- Sitamarhi

1. Naval Raut @ Nawal Kishore Raut Son of Late Ramshresth Raut Resident of Village - Vishnupur, P.S - Parihar, District - Sitamarhi
2. Uday Raut @ Uday Shankar Kumar Son of Nawal Raut @ Nawal Kishore Raut Resident of Village - Vishnupur, P.S - Parihar, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin kr. Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

5 08-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and apprehending their arrest in connection with Parihar P.S. Case No. 355 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304B, 201, 34 of IPC.

3. The allegation against petitioners is to commit murder of the daughter of the informant who is none but daughter-in-law of accused petitioner no. 1.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that present is not a case of dowry death for the reason that the alleged occurrence took place on



13.12.2023, the day on which her childrens was more than 10 years old. It is submitted that the daughter of informant died naturally out of her illness. It is submitted that the husband of the deceased and mother-in-law already acquitted after facing trial through judgment dated 01.12.2025 passed in sessions trial no. 286 of 2025 from the court of learned Principal District Judge, Sitamarhi. It is pointed out that during aforesaid trial informant failed to support the case of prosecution. It is also submitted by learned counsel that the allegation of demand of dowry is not available from the facial perusal of FIR, and moreover, petitioners were implicated only being in-laws facing general and omnibus allegation who are living separately with deceased and her husband having no concerns with their daily and domestic affairs.

5. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as both above-named petitioners are in-laws of deceased who claimed living separately and facing general and omnibus allegations, coupled with the fact that the FIR nowhere suggests that the occurrence took place in



the background of dowry demand, accordingly both above-named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, are directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Sitamarhi /concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Parihar P.S. Case No. 355 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

