

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19464 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-206 Year-2025 Thana- MOHIUDDIN NAGAR District-  
Samastipur

=====

Rishikesh Kumar son of Mahesh Singh Resident of Village -Anand Golwa  
(incorrectly Mentioned in the FIR, As Aanandgolva), PS- Mohiuddin nagar  
Distt -Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suneil Kumar Thakur, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      31-03-2026                      Heard Mr. Suneil Kumar Thakur, learned counsel for  
  
the petitioner and Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, learned  
  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since  
21.01.2026 in connection with Mohiuddin nagar P.S. Case No.  
206 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 02.10.2025 for the offences punishable  
under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and  
3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner over  
a petty dispute fired upon the son of the informant due to which  
he received injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. It appears from the FIR that although there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he has fired upon the son of the informant due to which he received injury but the injury report of the injured person suggest that the injury is simple in nature. Apart from that, after sometime both the parties have filed compromise petition before the learned Court below on 21.01.2026. It also appears from the FIR that on a spur of moment the present occurrence has taken place and there is no intention to kill anyone. The petitioner is in custody since 21.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the petitioner has clean antecedent, both the parties have enter into compromise and nature of injury is simple, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Shahpur Patori, Samastipur in connection with Mohiuddin nagar P.S. Case No. 206 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

- i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Vanisha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

