

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6083 of 2025

=====

Alok Kumar (Male), aged about 38 years, S/o Late Ram Padarth Singh, R/o-
Awas Nagar, New Police line, Bairiya Bus Stand, P.O-M.I.T, P.S-Ahiyapur,
Dist-Muzaffarpur- 842003, Adhar No.-873786931563, Mob-8340592967(Bid
Id-603189).

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Rural Work Department, Bihar, Bishweshraiya Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Engineer-in-Chief-cum-Commissioner-cum-special Secretary, Rural Work Department, Bihar, Bishweshraiya Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer-5, Muzaffarpur, R.W.D, sitting at Bishweshraiya Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Superintending Engineer, R.W.D, Work Circle, Motihari.
5. The Ex. Engineer, R.W.D., Work Division, Chakiya, District- East Champaran.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Jha, Advocate.
For the Respondents : Mr. Amish Kumar, A.C. to A.G.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

5 06-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. Following is the relief sought for in the present
writ petition:-

“1 (i) For quashing the impugned order dated



25.02.2025 passed by the Tender Committee due to non submission of bids information prior to this bid though the SBD was not uploaded by the respondents knowingly.

(ii) For directing the respondents to declare responsive of the technical bid of petitioner and open the financial bid and award the tender in favour of the petitioner if found lowest bid which has already been declared qualified earlier in the technical evaluation.

(iii) For restraining the respondents for further action in tender process because respondents are adamant not to award the work in favour of the petitioner for which respondents can go out of manner. Thus an appropriate order may be passed directing the respondents to award the work in favour of the petitioner if his financial bid is found lowest,

(iv) For granting any other relief/s for which the petitioner be found entitled in the eye of law.”

3. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the State, wherein following statement has been made in paragraph no. 13:-

“13. That thereafter, present respondent has entered into agreement vide Agreement No. 18SBD/2024-25 dated 27.03.2025 followed by Letter of Acceptance and work order issued by the competent authority in favour of the successful bidder.”

4. Upon perusal of the aforesaid statement, it appears that the work order has already been issued rendering the present writ petition infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the present writ application stands disposed of as having become infructuous.



6. Pending application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Shailendra Singh, J)

U.K./-BKS

U			
---	--	--	--

