

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9228 of 2021

- 1.1. Ashok Kumar Son of Late Kameshwar Ram and Late Manshurat Devi, Resident of Village Pyarechak, P.S. - Arwal, District- Arwal.
- 1.2. Dinesh Kumar Son of late Kameshwar Ram and Late Manshurat Devi, Resident of Village Pyarechak, P.S. - Arwal, District- Arwal.
- 1.3. Umesh Kumar Bharti Son of Late Kameshwar Ram and Late Manshurat Devi, Resident of Village Pyarechak, P.S. - Arwal, District- Arwal.
- 1.4. Kalawati Devi D/o late Kameshwar Ram and Late Manshurat Devi, Wife of Raj Narayan Prasad, Resident of Village - Khanpura, P.S. - Khiri Mor, District- Patna.
- 1.5. Malti Devi D/o late Kameshwar Ram and Late Manshurat Devi Wife of Rajeshwar Roy, Resident of Village - Sonbhadra, P.S. Bansi, District- Arwal.
- 1.6. Pratima Kumari D/o late Kameshwar Ram and Late Manshurat Devi Wife of Rajesh Singh, Resident of Village - Kansapur, P.S and District- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner Department of Industries Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Industrial Development Commissioner Department of Industries Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bureau of Public Enterprises, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner Cum- Secretary Industries Department Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
5. The Managing Director, Bihar State Handloom and Handicrafts Corporation Ltd. Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
6. The Chief Accounts Officer, Bihar State Handloom and Handicraft Corporation Ltd. Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Mishra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore (AG)
For the Resp. No. 5 & 6	:	Dr. Anand Kr., Adv.
		Mr. Rajan Prakash, Adv.
		M.s Pragya Kuar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

12 19-06-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner has made a categorical statement by rebutting the stand taken by the respondents that save and except E.P.F. amount to the tune of



Rs. 58,291/-, which according to the respondents have also been paid, other admissible dues have already been credited in the account of the mother of the petitioner.

2. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents may be directed to verify from the records with regard to disbursement of amount to the tune of Rs. 58,291/- as against the E.P.F. and if that amount has not been disbursed, the same may be directed to be disbursed forthwith.

3. Considering the fact that all other amount has already been paid except EPF amount, the petitioner is given liberty to make a representation before the respondent no.5 within a period of two weeks from today and on filing of such representation, the authority concerned shall verify from the records and, if the amount has not been disbursed, the same shall be disbursed forthwith and in case the amount is disbursed, appropriate proof of disbursement shall be made available to the petitioner.

4. With the aforementioned observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

