

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17428 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-253 Year-2025 Thana- BELDOUR District- Khagaria

Raushan Kumar @ Rauran Kumar S/o Manohar Mahto R/o - Saraiya, P.S -
Chautham, District - Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Nisha Devi w/o Arbind Mahto R/o Beldaur, Ward No.-09, Nagar Panchayat,
P.S.- Beldaur, Distt.- Khagaria

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rakesh Mohan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Satyendra Prasad, A.P.P.
	Mr. Binod Kumar, Advocate
	Mr. Amit Prakash, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 16-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 96 and
3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case but
then the said case was also instituted from the side of the
informant. It is further submitted that in sum and substance, the
informant alleges that his minor daughter on 13.08.2025 at
02:00 p.m. had left the house on pretext of using the toilet but
did not return and on search it transpired that petitioner enticed



the minor daughter of the informant and took her away with an intent to marry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that no doubt, the petitioner and the victim were known to each other and the victim on her own volition had left the house but not with the petitioner. It is next submitted that victim came back and her statement was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. wherein she has not named the petitioner or any other person being involved in the occurrence. It is, thus, submitted that merely knowing the victim is not an offence.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner but then are not in a position to rebut the submissions of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the victim in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has not stated anything against the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a



period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Beldaur P.S. Case No. 253 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

