

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21151 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-621 Year-2025 Thana- BIKRAMGANJ District- Rohtas

Santosh Kumar @ Langara Son of Late Bindeshwari Prasad R/o Village -
Dhangai, P.S. - Bikramganj, Dist. - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Kant Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Priya Sharan Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 621 of 2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections Sections 8 and 20(b) (ii)B of
the NDPS Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody
since 13-1-2026 and the informant alleges that 255 grams Ganja
was recovered from the kiosk of Manoj, who was arrested, and
he disclosed that he had purchased ganja from the petitioner
and Guriya Devi, thereafter the house of the petitioner was
raided and 7.600 Kgs. of Ganja was recovered from the house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



Guriya Devi, who is own sister of the petitioner, and the petitioner had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 78839 of 2025 and the anticipatory bail with respect to the petitioner was withdrawn by an order dated 6-1-2026 while Guriya Devi was granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State does not dispute the said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner but then submits that from perusal of the order dated 6-1-2026 in Cr. Misc No. 78839 of 2025, it would manifest that a detailed order was recorded that as to why anticipatory bail is being granted to Guriya Devi. It is next submitted that it was from house of this petitioner that 7.600 kg of ganja was recovered, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the recovery of ganja is less than commercial quantity, but then more than small quantity and the punishment prescribed is 10 years and the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.



7. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to
renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

