

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24037 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-329 Year-2024 Thana- Kharagpur District- Munger

Sameer Suman @ Sinnu Son of Arvind Singh @ Mahendra Singh R/o village-  
Bari Mudheri, Po- Rataitha, Ps- H. Kharagpur, Dist- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                    |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Ambrish Kumar Jha, Advocate    |
|                      | : | Mrs. Shashi Priya Pathak, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mrs. Veena Kumari Jaiswal, APP     |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      19-03-2026

Heard Mrs. Shashi Priya Pathak, learned

counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Veena Kumari Jaiswal,  
learned APP representing the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 329 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 109, 352, 3(5) and 61(2) of the B.N.S., lodged on 04.10.2024 by the informant, Ankush Raj.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that while they were sitting at the door and discussion about the PACS Election, the accused persons came in drunken state and opened fire. As the Police came, they escaped and cartridges were seized. This led to the F.I.R..

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only because of criminal antecedent, he has been implicated, though allegation of firing is there, the injury has not been recorded.



Further, similar situate Pintu Singh & Ors. have been granted relief in Cr. Misc. No. 16080 of 2025 on 19.06.2025 by a coordinate Bench.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that he has criminal antecedent.

6. Taking into account the aforesaid submissions put forward by the parties as also that no injury has been recorded, others have been extended relief as recorded above, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV, Munger, in connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 329 of 2024 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Voter Id/Driving License/Pan Card) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police



Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every month for next six months to mark his attendance and at the end of the period a certificate be submitted before the Trial Court failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

vinayak/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

