

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18399 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-252 Year-2025 Thana- DAUDPUR District- Saran

=====

Kunal Kumar Singh S/O Sarvjeet Singh R/O Vill.- Nayka barka baiju tola,
P.S.- Rivilganj, Dist.- Saran at Chapra. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-03-2026 Heard Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, learned counsel

for the petitioner as well as Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
26.09.2025 in connection with Daudpur P.S. Case No. 252 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 25.09.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 111, 309(4) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that when
the informant reached at a distance of 100-150 meter west from a
hotel, then 3-4 unknown miscreant arrived from behind on a
motorcycle and stopped him and on point of knife demanded
articles from him. It is further alleged that one of the accused took
away ATM cards, Rs.3000/-, a bag containing documents, one
mobile phone and his motorcycle and fled away toward Kopa.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, Aadarsh Kumar Sah @ Mannu. Thereafter, the petitioner was apprehended along with the alleged motorcycle with the co-accused. He next submits that the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of articles or the motorcycle in question and the petitioner was riding with the co-accused and he had no knowledge that the co-accused has snatched the motorcycle in question. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 26.09.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner carries two criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the said matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-Ist Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with Daudpur P.S. Case



No. 252 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

