

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17132 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-214 Year-2025 Thana- Marnga District- Purnia

Govind Kumar S/o- Pramod Tatma R/v- Sisiya Bisaria Ps- Kadwa Dist-
Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocate
	:	Ms. Aastha Prakash, Advocate
	:	Ms. Kanupriya, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Maranga P.S. Case No. 214 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 109 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and section 27 of the Arms Act, lodged on 18.06.2025 by the informant Md. Manir.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that:

“(i) On 17.06.2025, between 11:30 AM and 12:30 PM, he was returning home from filed near Shiv Mandir, Gudmilki.

(ii) While walking on the NH-31, four young men riding on two scooters suddenly came from



both sides of the road and demanded his mobile phone.

(iii) When the informant refused to hand over the mobile the accused physically assaulted him. The informant attempted to run away at which point one of the accused, identified as Govind Kumar allegedly fired three rounds at him one of which stuck him on the waist and passed his body.

(iv) It is further alleged that the other three persons involved in the incident remain unidentified. After being hit by the bullet, the informant went home and along with his friend Mahesh Kumar and his brother was taken to GMCH, Purnia for medical treatment.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is a young boy having no criminal antecedent and there is also no enmity but stands implicated.

5. Learned State counsel on the other hand has taken this Court to the F.I.R. to show that the petitioner recognise the person who allegedly assaulted/opened fire.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties, it



would be appropriate that the petitioner seek bail, the anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

7. This Court would like to put on record the words of appreciation for Mr. Abhishek Anand, learned counsel representing the petitioner for the proper assistance rendered in the matter.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

