

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5610 of 2026

=====

Yamuna Singh S/o Late Bahadur Singh, R/o Village-Chakanwa, P.O. and P.S.-
Indrapuri, District-Rohtas (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar at Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum College, Rohtas at Sasaram, District-Rohtas.
3. The Additional District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram, District-Rohtas.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Dehri, District-Rohtas.
5. The Circle Officer, Tilauthu Block, P.S.-Tilauthu, District-Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Onkar Nath, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Additional Advocate General (12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2026 Heard Mr. Onkar Nath, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(i) Setting aside the order as
contained in Letter No.-618 dt.-13.12.2025
purported to have been signed & issued by the
Resp. No.-4 whereby & whereunder the Rent
Fixation Case No.-02/2025-2026 has been
rejected in pursuance to the provisions of Rule-53
(2), (i), (d), (f) of the Bihar Tenancy (Amendment)*



Rules, 2018.

(ii) Commanding the respondent authorities particularly the Resp. No.-4 & 5 to follow with the provisions of Bihar Tenancy (Amendment) Rules, 2018 for fixation of rent in light of judgment dt.-17.07.89 & the decree dt.-25.09.1989 in connection with Title Suit No.-97/2075 passed by the learned Sub-Judge-III, Rohtas at Sasaram.

(iii) Grant of any other consequential or incidental relief/reliefs, for which the petitioner can be found entitled for.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be challenging the said order by filing an appropriate appeal but due to pendency of the writ petition, the delay has occurred.

4. Learned State counsel submits that if any petition is filed, it will be taken to its logical conclusion.

5. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of with a direction that if the petitioner approaches the concerned authority within a period of four weeks from today, the limitation petition shall be considered in the



backdrop of the fact that the writ petition was pending before this Court.

6. Needless to add, after noticing/hearing the parties, the matter shall be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

