

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6447 of 2024

=====

Ram Chandra Singh Son of Late Ram Bilash Singh, resident of village Kerka
P.S. N.T.P.C., District Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Deptt. of Revenue and Land Reform, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Directorate of Land Acquisition, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Aurangabad.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Bhartiya Rail Baffle Campus Ltd. (A Joint Venture of India Railway and N.T.P.C.) Its Office located at the campus of BRBCHI Khaira, P.S. N.T.P.C. Khaira Circle Nabinagar District Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vyas Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Birendra Prasad Singh, AC to SC-19
		Mr. Amaresh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
		Mr. Vaibhav Narayan, Advocate
		Ms. Deeksha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 30-03-2026 Heard Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, learned counsel

for the petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for issuance of writ(s), order(s) or
direction(s) in the nature of Mandamus
Commanding and directing upon the concerned
Authority i.e. LARA GAYA to accept the petition
filed under section 64 of the Right to Fair*



Compensation Land Acquisition Rehabilitation and resettlement Act, 2013 (In short RFCTLARA Act 2013) and dispose the same on merit under the stipulated period as enshrined under section 60 (4) of the Act by giving liberty to the petitioner to file petition before the LARA GAYA under section 64(1) of the Act, 2013.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that his case be also considered and disposed of in the light of the order passed by the Coordinate Bench in **Kumar Ohm vs. The State of Bihar & Ors. (CWJC No. 2743 of 2023)** dated **12.07.2023**.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to it.

5. The relevant portion in of the aforesaid order passed in Kumar Ohm (supra) read as follows:

“Considering the circumstances, the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad is directed to consider the case of petitioner under Section 64 of the RFCTLARRA, 2013 and shall pass order on merit and shall refer the matter for reference before LARRA, Gaya.

With this observation and direction, the



present Writ Petition stands disposed off.”

6. In that background, the aforesaid writ petition is also disposed of in the same term allowing the petitioner(s) to approach the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad who shall be taking up the matter and act as per the order passed in Kumar Ohm (supra) case.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

