

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17195 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2020 Thana- BUXAR COMPLAINT CASE District-
Buxar

=====

Deepak Singh @ Deepak Yadav @ Bittu Yadav S/o Rampravesh Yadav R/o
Village- Khanpur, PS- Rajpur, Distt.- Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Suresh Prasad S/o Kishun Pal R/o vill - Kulhara, P.S.- Buxar Mufassil,
Distt.- Buxar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Complaint Case No. 302(C) of 2020 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 409 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution based on the complaint
petition, in short, is that the complainant is a ward member and
has filed this case against the petitioner alleging therein that he
had handed over advance money through checks to the
petitioner to the tune of Rs. 8.5 lakhs out of total sanction
money of Rs. 14,97,437 regarding the work related to the



Mukhya Mantri Nal Jal Scheme. It is further alleged that Deepak Yadav is a contractor and he was directed to complete the work within time but the petitioner completed work of Rs. 3,72,000/- and even after several directions, the petitioner did not complete the rest of the work of the Mukhya Mantri Nal Jal Scheme.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that it is a case based on a complaint petition, from the perusal of which it is clear that out of Rs. 14 lakhs an odd only Rs. 8.5 lakhs was advanced to the petitioner. He also submits that from perusal of the order of the learned trial court, it is clear that the learned trial court has recorded that from perusal of the record and measurement book filed by the petitioner regarding completion of work and also a work completion certificate issued by the technical assistant of the Panchayat Raj department, it shows that the work has been completed on 06.07.2023, but the work completion certificate of the technical assistant does not show on which date the work has been completed. He also submits that it is even clear from the order of the learned trial court that the work has been



completed. The measurement book also goes to show that the work has been completed. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 03.02.2026.

5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by learned APP for the State and the learned counsel for the complainant. Learned counsel for the complainant stated that the work has not been completed by the petitioner and he has embezzled government fund.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class No-5 (In-charge), Buxar in connection with Complaint Case No. 302(C) of 2020.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

