

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16768 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2021 Thana- AAYAR District- Bhojpur

=====

Sachit Paswan @ Suchit Paswan @ Sushil Kumar S/o Baban Paswan @
Baban Ram Resident of Village - Isarhi, P.S.- Aayar, District - Bhojpur
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Laxman Mahto S/o Late Kalpnath Singh Resident of Village - Isarhi, P.S.-
Aayar, District - Bhojpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Section 366 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that his daughter had gone to attend the call of nature but
did not return and during search, it transpired that petitioner has
kidnapped the victim girl with an intent to marry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the
informant. It is further submitted that the victim came back and
her statement was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. under



parental pressure wherein she stated that petitioner and Amarjeet Kumar committed wrong with her. It is next submitted that Amarjeet Kumar had approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc. No. 67106 of 2023 and the same came to be allowed vide order dated 06.02.2024 passed by the then learned Coordinate Bench of this Court. It is also submitted that petitioner is also in custody since 09.02.2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner and submits that the victim in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has supported the case of the prosecution and has stated that petitioner along with Amarjeet Kumar committed wrong. It is further submitted that Amarjeet Kumar was in judicial custody since 21.04.2023 and was granted the privilege of regular bail by an order dated 06.02.2024.

6. At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that though the victim alleges that wrong was committed but then she did not get herself medically examined. It is further submitted that the victim is a major aged about 20 years and she was having friendly relation with the petitioner and had accompanied the petitioner on her own sweet will but when the instant case came to be instituted she came



back and under parental pressure implicated the petitioner and Amarjeet Kumar without any medical examination.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail in connection with Aayar P.S. Case No. 93 of 2021 pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VII, Bhojpur at Ara/Successor Court.

8. Hence, the prayer for bail is rejected.

9. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

