

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17770 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2024 Thana- Manikpur P.S District- Arwal

Raushan Kumar S/O Lal Mohan Singh Vill.- Chatoi, P.S.- Manikpur, Dist-
Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Saurav Suman, Advocate
	:	Mr.Dharam Deepak Vishwash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-03-2026 Heard Mr.Saurav Suman, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari, learned A.P.P. for the
State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
22.11.2025 in connection with Manikpur P.S. Case No. 43 of
2024, F.I.R. dated 25.03.2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 323,341,307,379,504 and 34 of IPC.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted
to the father of the informant causing injury on his head.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that it appears from the FIR that due to some petty
dispute the present occurrence had taken place. There is case
and counter case. Although there is specific allegation against



the petitioner that he assaulted to one Anil Singh and he has received the injury. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no intention to kill anyone and due to spur of moment the present occurrence had taken place and co-accused person, namely, Manikant Kumar @ Manikant Singh, who is brother of the petitioner, has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 09.03.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No.14932 of 2026 and the police, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation of assault attributed against the petitioner and the petitioner carries two more cases other than the present one but he fairly submits that out of two cases, the petitioner is on bail in one case and in another case, the petitioner has been acquitted, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Arwal in connection with Manikpur P.S. Case No. 43 of



2024,with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

