

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17085 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-365 Year-2025 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

Salman Hussain S/O Salamu Din Resident of Vill- Sonbarsa, Sonbarsa, PO-  
Dumarshan Bangra, District- Saran, State- Bihar-841410

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Robin Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      09-04-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Section 309(4) of the  
BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is a young boy aged about 22 years and is a person  
with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on  
19.12.2025 he came out of the bank after withdrawing money  
when he was intercepted by three unknown accused who tried to  
rob him but on alarm the villagers gathered and the accused fled  
with his bag thinking money was in the bag but the money was  
saved since the informant had kept the money in his pocket.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR  
is against unknown and the name of the petitioner transpired in



the confessional statement of apprehended accused in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value in the eye of law. It is further submitted that petitioner is a young boy, aged about 22 years and is a person with clean antecedent and if he is sent to judicial custody based on his name transpiring in the confessional statement of apprehended accused, his entire career would get jeopardized and chances are bright that he may come in contact with the hardened criminals. It is next submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then this is the first offence of the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is



pending/Successor Court in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 365 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father, namely, Salamu Din.

8. However, it is made clear that if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Kundan/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

