

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18357 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-259 Year-2025 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

Raju Ranjan Kumar @ Vikash Kumar Son of Kapildev Ray @ Kapildev
Yadav R/o Village - Bala Thakur, P.S. - Naubatpur, Dist. - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Matloob Rab, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 259 of 2025, registered for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 75, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS and Section 3,4 of the Bihar Daain Act, 1999.

3. As per the prosecution case, petitioner and other coaccused persons assaulted the informant and his family members when the informant opposed the act of the coaccused in cutting a mango tree standing on the land of the informant. The allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted the elder brother of the informant with *dab* on his head and he also received a cut injury on his left hand. The petitioner and other

coaccused persons further assaulted other family members of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is specific allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted the elder brother of the informant with sharp cutting weapon causing injuries on his left hand and on his head. However, there is no injury report of the elder brother of the informant namely Satendra Kumar on record. The statement of the victim was recorded but he did not name this petitioner for any specific assault rather he stated that he and others were assaulted by all the accused persons. Learned counsel further submits that there is property dispute between the parties and a free fight broke out due to this dispute and there is counter version of the occurrence for which Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 283 of 2025 has been instituted by the mother of the petitioner for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 75, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is having clean antecedent and he is in custody since 26.12.2025. Charge sheet has been submitted.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the

submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned APP submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he caused a number of injuries on the head and hand of the elder brother of the informant.

6. Having regard to the fact and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the absence of injury on the alleged victim and the informant and also considering period of custody, submission of charge sheet and clean antecedent of the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Danapur/concerned court, in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 259 of 2025, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the BNSS and other following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

anuradha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--