

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4460 of 2026

=====

Bajnath Singh @ Bajnath Prasad Son of late Ram Autar Singh, Resident of Allahibagh, P.O.- Gopalpur (present thana Bairiya, P.S.- Sampatchak), District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector -cum- District Magistrate, Patna.
3. The Registrar, Registration, Chhajubagh, Department of Registration, Patna.
4. The Patna Municipal Corporation, through its Commissioner, Maurya Lok Complex, Dak Bunglow Road, Patna.
5. Ashok Singh, Son of late Shyam Bihari Singh, Resident of village- Illahibagh, P.O.- Bairiya, P.S.- Gopalpur, District- Patna (Bihar).
6. Ajay Kumar Singh, Son of late Shyam Bihari Singh, Resident of village- Illahibagh, P.O.- Bairiya, P.S.- Gopalpur, District- Patna (Bihar).
7. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Son of late Shyam Bihari Singh, Resident of village- Illahibagh, P.O.- Bairiya, P.S.- Gopalpur, District- Patna (Bihar).
8. Rajeshwar Prasad, Son of late Ram Nagina Rai, Resident of village- Illahibagh, P.O.- Bairiya, P.S.- Gopalpur, District- Patna (Bihar).
9. Devendra Prasad, Son of late Ram Nagina Rai, Resident of village- Illahibagh, P.O.- Bairiya, P.S.- Gopalpur, District- Patna (Bihar).
10. Shashikant, Son of Sri Nikhilesh Kumar Singh, 315, Maharaja Kameshwar Complex, Frazer Road, Patna- 800001.
11. Jascon Enterbuild Limited, through its Managing Director, 315, Maharaja Kameshwar Complex, Frazer Road, Patna- 800001.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raj Shekhar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ajay Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (10)
For the PMC	:	Mr. Prasoon Sinha, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 15-04-2026 Heard Mr. Raj Shekhar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Prasoon Sinha, learned Senior Counsel

representing the Patna Municipal Corporation and learned



counsel representing the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

“for restraining the respondent no.11 not to execute a fresh agreement to any other persons and/or pass any other order/orders as your Lordship may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of this case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the competent Civil Court for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

