

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16822 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2022 Thana- HAYAGHAT District- Darbhanga

Bittu Kumar Singh S/O Ram Naresh Singh R/O Vill.- Ghoshrama, P.S-
Hayaghat, Dist- Darbhanga, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The state of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 17-03-2026 Heard Mr. Deepak Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
13.01.2026, in connection with Hayaghat P.S. Case No. 50 of
2022, F.I.R. dated 25.04.2022 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of cheating and
forgery in relation to financial transactions.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the
allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the
petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R.



In fact, the petitioner is a Manager of SFNL Nidhi Limited and the allegation against the petitioner's Company is that the Company is not registered with the Reserve Bank of India and SEBI to collect the fund from the public. From perusal of the F.I.R. itself it appears that the F.I.R. was instituted in haste on the basis of the letter of Finance Department and without verifying the same the present F.I.R. was instituted only to harass the petitioner and apart from aforesaid the prosecution has not received any complaint against the petitioner's company and the petitioner is in custody since 13.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and there is no specific allegation of any forgery of misappropriation of fund of the public, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-6, Darbhanga in connection with Hayaghat P.S. Case No. 50 of 2022, subject to the following conditions :-



(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

