

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16897 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2026 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

=====

Ajit Kumar S/o Jalendra Prasad @ Jalendra Prasad Chandrabanshi R/o
Village - Neyamat Chak, P.S.- Gaurichak, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prem Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lalan Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-03-2026 Heard Mr. Prem Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Lalan Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
17.02.2026 in connection with Special Excise P.S. Case No. 147
of 2026 arising out of Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 45 of 2026, F.I.R.
dated 20.01.2026 for the offences punishable under Section
30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

3. Recovery is of 100 liters of illicit liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits that it appears
from the F.I.R. as well as seizure list that nothing has been
recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather



the recovery has been made from scooty in question and the petitioner has been made accused merely on the ground that he is the owner of the scooty in question. Infact the petitioner has given the scooty to co-accused, namely, Raja Kumar and he was apprehended along with the illicit liquor. He next submits that the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of the liquor or the scooty. He further submits that there is non-compliance of Section 103 and 105 of BNSS and the petitioner is in custody since 17.02.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been made accused merely on the ground that he is the owner of the scooty in question and there is non-compliance of Section 103 and 105 of BNSS, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Excise Judge, Danapur in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 45 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

