

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17848 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-625 Year-2022 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Bhagalpur

Rajesh Yadav Son of Bhola Yadav Resident of village - Bhawanipur, P.S.-
Rangra, District - Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Senior Advocate Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate Mr. Mayank Raj, Adv. Mr. Adarsh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 24-04-2026 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that, due to an inadvertent mistake typographical error on the part of the counsel who filed the case has taken place in bail petition. Instead of mentioning the third regular bail application, the first regular bail application has been inadvertently typed. As such, he seeks permission to correct the same during the course of the day.

3. Permission is granted to correct the same on the same day.

4. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with



Sessions Trial No. 512 of 2024, arising out of Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 625 of 2022, lodged on 14.12.2022 under Sections 302 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 27 of the Arms Act, pending before the Court of 3rd Additional Sessions Judge, Naugachia, Bhagalpur.

5. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the bail application of the petitioner has earlier been rejected twice *vide* order dated 05.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 81819 of 2023 and *vide* order dated 09.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 10381 of 2025 with Cr. Misc. No. 11161 of 2025.

6. Senior counsel further submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 25.12.2022, i.e., for about three years and four months. Counsel further submits that three witnesses have been declared hostile in this case, and one of the co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 09.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 61208 of 2025. Counsel further submits that on the previous occasion, a report regarding the present stage of the trial was called for.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the trial is going on and, as per the pleadings, the petitioner has been in custody for only three years and four months. Counsel further submits that there are six



criminal cases pending against the petitioner.

8. In response thereto, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that out of six criminal cases, the petitioner has already been acquitted in three cases.

9. After hearing the parties, it transpires to this Court that there is a direct allegation against the petitioner of firing, as a result of which death was caused.

10. As such, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

11. Speedy trial is a constitutional vision of justice. For this reason, the Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur, is directed to take necessary action by instructing the concerned SHO/IO to ensure the production of the rest witnesses for adducing evidence before the Trial Court in connection with Sessions Trial No. 512 of 2024, arising out of Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 625 of 2022 within six months.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

