

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17450 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-173 Year-2025 Thana- FORBESGANJ District- Araria

1. Balram Kumar Son of Surya Narayan Thakur Resident of Village -Jharkhaha, Ward No. 04, P.S. - Madhepura, Distt. - Madhepura.
2. Sushil Kumar(Ex, Assitant Branch Manager, Micro Finance Company Limited) Son of Kinnulal Sah R/o Village - Laxmipura, Ward no. 8, P.S. - Pipra, Dist. - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Matloob Rab, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that Balram and Sushil who are Branch Manager and Assistant Branch Manager committed misappropriation of an amount of Rs. 24,30,996/- while granting loan to 47 members of BSS Micro Finance Limited and returned an amount of Rs. 2,11,321/-



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that informant is Zonal Manager of BSS Micro Finance Limited. It is also submitted that the services of the petitioners stand terminated, but then before terminating the services of the petitioners, an inquiry into the allegations ought to have been held, but then the same was not done rather the services of the petitioners were terminated in haste, so that they are not in a position to bring any evidence on record to show that they were not involved in the occurrence. It is also submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in



connection with Forbesganj P.S. Case No. 173 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioners despite giving assurance to this Court are not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

8. It is further made clear that if charge sheet is submitted connecting the petitioners with the offence, in that even the present anticipatory bail order shall lose its effect.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

