

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18166 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-450 Year-2017 Thana- ARA NAWADA District- Bhojpur

USHA SHAH Wife of Gopal Prasad Resident of Daudnagar, P.S.- Daudnagar,
District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Abhishekh Sah Son of Ashok Prasad Shah @ Ashok Prasad @ Ashok Kumar Resident of Ramna Road, Compound of Hariji, Purnima Niketan, P.S.- Nawada, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
3. Ashok Prasad @ Ashok Kumar @ Ashok Prasad Shah Son of not known to the petitioner Resident of Ramna Road, Compound of Hariji, Purnima Niketan, P.S.- Nawada, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
4. Purnima Devi Wife of Ashok Prasad Sahah Resident of Ramna Road, Compound of Hariji, Purnima Niketan, P.S.- Nawada, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
5. Ashish Kumar Son of Ashok Prasad Sahah Resident of Ramna Road, Compound of Hariji, Purnima Niketan, P.S.- Nawada, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
6. Sneha Devi Wife of Ashish Kumar Resident of Ramna Road, Compound of Hariji, Purnima Niketan, P.S.- Nawada, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
7. Archana Devi Daughter of Ashok Prasad Shah Resident of Ramna Road, Compound of Hariji, Purnima Niketan, P.S.- Nawada, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Jitendra Kumar Roy
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Shyameshwar Dayal
		Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 20-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. This application has been filed on behalf of the petitioners for quashing the order dated 4.1.2019 passed in Cr. Revision No. 201 of 2018 passed by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Ara Nawada



P.S. Case No. 450 of 2017 by which the order was interfered with by the Revisional Court.

3. Upon perusal of the records, it appears that during pendency of this application, the trial proceeded and an application under Section 319 of the Cr.P.C. was preferred by the petitioner-informant but that application was rejected by the trial Judge and against the same, the petitioner-informant has preferred Cr. Misc. No. 20164 of 2023 and in the same, an interim order has been passed, staying the trial.

4. In the opinion of this court, when the case has proceeded and the matter is pending in this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 20164 of 2023, this application has become infructuous.

5. Accordingly, this application is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

