

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18493 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2025 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

Bablu Chauhan S/o Aasam Chauhan Resident of Village- Chntamachak, PS- Pandarak, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhara Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in Pandarak P. S. Case No.168 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 61(2) of the B.N.S. and Sections 25(1-B)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he received an information on 28.09.2025 at 8.00 A.M. that accused persons have gathered at the place of occurrence. Accordingly, the force reached at the place of occurrence and saw five accused persons sitting, who on seeing the police force started fleeing but one accused was apprehended who disclosed his name as Dilip and from his



possession, two country-made pistol along with two live cartridges were recovered and he disclosed the name of the accused persons including the petitioner and also disclosed that arms would be recovered from the place where they were sitting. Accordingly, the police force searched the place of occurrence and recovered three country-made pistol along with 16 live cartridges.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case based on confessional statement of apprehended accused in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value. It is further submitted that since petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that no doubt, name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value, but then, allegations are serious that altogether five country-made along with 18 live cartridges were recovered from the place of occurrence. It is further submitted that investigation and questioning in the case of the accused persons is required and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner may



abscond. It is further submitted that investigation of the case is continuing.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

