

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18230 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-279 Year-2024 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

1. Satyendra Narayan @ Satyendra Narayan Singh son of Late Punyadev singh Resident Of Village- Takina, Ps- Marhowrah, Dist- Chapra
2. Santosh Narayan @ Chotu Son of Satendra Narayan @ Satyendra Narayan Singh Resident Of Village- Takina, Ps- Marhowrah, Dist- Chapra
3. Rateneshar Kumar Singh @ Ratneshwar Singh @ Ratneshar Kumar son of Late Punyadev Singh Resident Of Village- Maharuan, Ps- Bheldi, Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Piyush Parasar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Anukriti Jaipuriya, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Marhaurah P.S. Case No. 279 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 302, 506, 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 18.07.2025 passed in Cr.



Misc. No. 19820 of 2025 and vide order dated 18.07.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 20255 of 2025, regular bail of the petitioners was rejected by this Court considering the stage of the trial.

4. In compliance of the order dated 18.03.2026, a report dated 07.04.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that three prosecution witnesses have been examined in this case. It is further reported that trial is likely to be concluded in the next 12 months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 18.12.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioners. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from today. However, petitioners are at liberty to renew their prayer for



grant of bail before the learned Trial Court itself, after the examination of the informant.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

