

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16291 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-29 Year-2026 Thana- RAHIKA District- Madhubani

=====

Ram Kumar Singh Son of Bihari Singh Resident of Village- Khasmahal  
Dumari, P.S.- Rahika, Dist.- Madhubani, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sumiran Rai

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      17-03-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act  
and allegation is of recovery of 74.400 litres of liquor from a  
bush situated behind the house of the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was  
recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged  
recovery is from a place, which does not belong to the  
petitioner, but then, is adjacent to his house and he came to be



implicated based on secret information, which is the easiest way to implicate someone. It is next submitted that police in majority of cases implicating innocent persons either at the behest of Chaukidar, local person, secret information and confessional statement in a mechanical manner without holding a proper investigation.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Rahika P. S. Case No.29 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is



found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case only, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

