

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18790 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-311 Year-2025 Thana- POTHIIYA District- Kishanganj

1. Md Sarfuddin @ Bachchan @ Md Safiuddin S/o Late Jalu Mohammad R/o vill- Nadiya Gachh, Thana- Pothia, District- Kishanganj
2. Md Azad Alam S/o Md Sarfuddin @ Bachchan @ Md Safiuddin R/o vill- Nadiya Gachh, Thana- Pothia, District- Kishanganj
3. Md Nisar Alam @ Nisar Alam S/o Md Sarfuddin @ Bachchan @ Md Safiuddin R/o vill- Nadiya Gachh, Thana- Pothia, District- Kishanganj
4. Md Firoz Alam @ Firoz Alam @ Firoz S/o Sukaru R/o vill- Nadiya Gachh, Thana- Pothia, District- Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Ram Prawesh Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy, APP
	Mr. Ram Kumar, Adv
	Mr. Sarvottam Kumar, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 02-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 132, 76, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 has antecedent of two cases and petitioner nos. 2, 3 and 4 are persons with clean antecedent the informant



alleges that accused persons including the petitioners came at the Madarsa and assaulted him and the women folk and vandalised the office and Sajjad fired three rounds in air.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of dispute relating to Madarsa. It is next submitted that no specific allegation of assault and abuse is alleged against the petitioners rather the allegations are general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that though Sajjad is alleged to have fired three rounds in air but then no one was injured and Sajjad is not a petitioner in the instant anticipatory bail application.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in



connection with Pothia P.S. Case No. 311 of 2025, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

