

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16929 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-181 Year-2025 Thana- SIKTA District- West Champaran

Anand Sah Son of Ramjee Sah R/o Vill.- Laxmipur, P.S.- Sikta, Dist.- West
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-03-2026 Heard Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, learned counsel
for the petitioner, Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State as well as Mr. Bimlesh Kumar
Pandey, learned counsel for the Informant.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
14.01.2026 in connection with Sikta P.S. Case No. 181 of 2025,
F.I.R. dated 09.12.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 351(2) of the
Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted
the informant and his son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated. It appears from the FIR that due to some petty dispute the present occurrence has taken place. Although the petitioner is named in the FIR but from bare perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act against him rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 14.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR and he has participated in the present crime in question.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Sikta P.S. Case No. 181 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and



on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

