

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16570 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2025 Thana- Rampur Chauram District- Arwal

Pancham Kumar S/o Late Deobali Mahto R/o Village - Kateshar Tola, Ram
Nath Bigha, P.S - Rampur Chauram, District - Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gyanand Roy, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Madan Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-03-2026 Heard Mr. Gyanand Roy, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Madan Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
18.11.2025, in connection with Chauram P.S. Case No. 95 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 17.11.2025 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 303(2), 62, 109, 3(5) of the B.N.S.
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has tried
to stop the informant by means of lathi.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the
allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the
petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R.



It appears from the F.I.R. that the allegation of firing attributed against co-accused person namely Jawaharlal Mistry and the allegation against the petitioner is that he has tried to stop the informant by means of lathi and there is no accusation against him in the F.I.R. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 18.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and there is no allegation of any assault or overt act or firing against the petitioner and there is specific allegation of firing against co-accused Jawaharlal Mistry, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District & Sessions Judge-I, Arwal in connection with Rampur Chauram P.S. Case No. 95 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

