

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3732 of 2026

=====

Suvash Kumar Son of Samser Singh, R/o Village- Daulatpur, Simri, P.O.-
Simri, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The Mineral Development Officer, District Mining Office, Patna.
6. The Mining Inspector, District Mining Office, Patna.
7. The Officer-in-Charge, Bikram Police Station, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv. Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Md. Raisul Haque, SC-10
For the Mines	:	Mr. Naresh Dixit, Spl. P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-05-2026 Heard Mr. Prashant Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and the State as also the Mines Department.

2. The present application has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*(i) for issuance of a writ in the
nature of Mandamus for quashing the
arbitrary and illegal action of the
respondent authorities in blocking/closing
the petitioner's I.D. and password
appertaining to its sand Storage License*



without any notice or opportunity of hearing.

(ii) For quashing the letter no. 5645 dated 07.10.2025, so far as it relates to the petitioner, by which a direction has been issued to take punitive action against all K-license holders for alleged ground of unauthorized sale beyond the permissible limit is false, baseless, and without evidentiary support, especially when no stock of sand existed at the petitioner's site at the relevant time.

(iii) For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing the respondent authorities to immediately restore the petitioner's I.D and password and allow him to carry on lawful business under his valid license.

(iv) For holding that the alleged ground of unauthorized sale beyond the permissible limit is not all applicable in the case of petitioner.

(v) For issuance of such other order or direction as the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the instant case.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall also be approaching the Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Bihar, Patna (respondent no. 1) for taking a decision in the matter in line with



the order passed earlier in the case of Manish Kumar vs. State and others (CWJC No. 267/2026) decided on 26.03.2026.

4. Learned counsel for the Mines Department has no objection.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to file a detailed representation with all the supportive documents before the concerned authority who shall be passing a reasoned order in accordance with law at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay
Singh/Sanny Patel

U			
---	--	--	--

