

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19592 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2025 Thana- RANIYATALAB District- Patna

Sanjeev Kumar @ Sanjeev Pandit S/O Manoj Sharma @ Manoj Kumar R/O
Village- Kab, P.S- Ranitalab, Distt.-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr.Kamal Deo Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murli Dhar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 103 (1), 61 (2) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that while the informant was about 50 meters away from his father, he heard the sound of firing and when he went near his father, he found that his father had received bullet injury and had fallen down. Thereafter, the informant raised an alarm and saw 3-4 persons fleeing towards canal. The informant then took his father to hospital, where he was declared dead. It is further alleged that the deceased used to say that one Anjani Kumar and Pawan Kishore were hatching a conspiracy to commit his murder.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. His name has surfaced during the course of investigation on the basis of the confessional statement of Divyanshu Kumar @ Anshu Singh @ Anshu Kumar. Save and except the confessional statement of co-accused, nothing has come against this petitioner to implicate him. It is further submitted that co-accused person, namely, Kundan Kumar has been granted bail by this court *vide* Cr. Misc. No. 4868 of 2026. The case of the petitioner stands on similar footing. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 13.11.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail stating that the petitioner has three criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Ranitalab P.S. Case No. 277 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned



Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Danapur.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

