

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19798 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-324 Year-2025 Thana- PARSA District- Saran

-
1. Sujit Kumar Son of Birendra Sahni Resident of Village -Pachbhinda PS-Taraiya Dsit -Saran
 2. Santosh Sahni son of Surendra Sahni Resident of Village -Pachbhinda PS-Taraiya Dsit -Saran
 3. Sikanti Devi Wife of Surendra Sahni Resident of Village -Pachbhinda PS-Taraiya Dsit -Saran
 4. Birendra Sahni Son of Parchit Sahni Resident of Village -Pachbhinda PS-Taraiya Dsit -Saran
 5. Surendra Sahni son of Surya Sahni Resident of Village -Pachbhinda PS-Taraiya Dsit -Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nalin Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 29-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2) and 87 of the BNS, 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 3 is a woman and the informant alleges that her daughter aged about 19 years on 16.10.2025 had gone to appear for B.A.



examination, but did not return, next alleges that her daughter's marriage was fixed with Sujit, but her daughter refused to marry Sujit since she came to know that he is a drunkard, hence accused persons had threatened, thus, alleges that accused persons abducted her daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of dispute relating to marriage. It is next submitted that Sujit was not willing to marry the daughter of the informant, as such, the marriage had broken, but then in order to coerce Sujit and his family members into submission, the present false case came to be instituted. It is further submitted that no doubt the victim came back and her statement was recorded under Section 183 BNSS, wherein she has supported the case of the prosecution and has said that she was kept in a dark room for two months and the accused persons used to assault, it is thus submitted that the said statement of the victim does not inspire confidence, as recorded in the order impugned. It is next submitted that even parties have compromised the case, as would manifest from Annexure-3 at Page-13 to the supplementary affidavit.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the



anticipatory bail application of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Parsa P.S. Case No. 324 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

