

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16664 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-254 Year-2025 Thana- TARAIIYA District- Saran

=====

Dharmendra Prasad S/o Medha Prasad R/o Village - Fenahara (Tamaha Tola),
P.O - Dumari Chhapiya (Masharak), P.S - Taraiya, District - Saran at Chhapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rananjay Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mrs. Chhateshwari Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Pronoti Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-03-2026 Heard Mr. Rananjay Kumar, learned counsel for

the petitioner as well as Mr. Pronoti Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
24.08.2025 in connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 254 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 20.08.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 137(2) & 96 of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that the
petitioner kidnapped the daughter of the informant for the
purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against



the petitioner is false and fabricated. Infact the petitioner was in love with the victim girl and the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C/183 BNSS in which she did not support the case of the prosecution and she has stated that she has performed marriage with the petitioner in the year 2024 in the temple and she has also shown her willingness to live with the petitioner. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 24.08.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner has clean antecedent and the victim did not support the case of the prosecution in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C/183 BNSS , let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-XV, Saran at Chapra in connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 254 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

