

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16997 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

=====

Anil Yadav Son of Late Siman Yadav @ Siman @ Late Shivnandan Yadav
Resident of Village - Gowasha Shekhpura, Gherapar Gowasha Shekhpura,
Police Station - Pandarak, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arun, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 103 and 3(5) of the B.N.S.
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that all the
eight named accused persons, including this petitioner, along
with 4 to 5 unknown persons, surrounded elder son of
informant, namely Arun Yadav and thereafter, co-accused
Mukesh Yadav and Hareram Yadav opened fire causing fire arm
injury on the head and stomach of her son, due to which,
informant's son fell down and died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner



that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that specific accusation of causing fire-arm injury is against co-accused Mukesh Yadav and Hareram Yadav. So far as this petitioner is concerned, he is only alleged to be member of the mob and there is general and omnibus allegation against him. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 18.11.2025 passed in *Cr. Misc. No. 75342 of 2025*, order dated 03.12.2025 passed in *Cr. Misc. No. 82453 of 2025* and order dated 13.02.2026 passed in *Cr. Misc. No. 738 of 2026*. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner, having no criminal antecedents, is in custody since 16.01.2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, period of custody, claim based on parity and clean antecedents, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I,
Barh, Patna in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No. 92 of
2025, subject to the following conditions:-

“(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her *bona fide*;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of her bail bonds.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

