

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16959 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-833 Year-2024 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

Binod Sah S/O Ramlal Sah R/O Vill- Patahi Hari, P.s-Sadar, Dist-
Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aditya Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Anunay Shahi, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 23-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 833 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 109 and 3(5) of B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner has handed over the pistol to one co-accused Amar Kumar. After that, he fired at Rohit Kumar and Janaki Devi. Both succumbed to the injuries.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in the present case. Leaned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that only role of the petitioner in this case



is that is of giving the arm to the co-accused. No allegation of firing is against him. He is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 31.01.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that in this case, a report regarding stage of trial was called from the learned trial Court. From perusal of report of learned trial Court, it will transpire that in this case, all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the statement of the accused persons under Section 351 of the B.N.S.S. has also been recorded. Even one defence witness has also been adduced by the defence.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that as the trial is at its fag end, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. However, petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after two months if the trial is not concluded.

8. Learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within two months.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

