

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18565 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2024 Thana- CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- Saran

Anil Mahto @ Anil Kumar Son of Raj Kumar Mahto R/o Village - Ratanpura
Bintolia, P.S. - Chapra Mufassil, District - Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anjani Parashar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-03-2026 Heard Mr.Anjani Parashar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
31.10.2025 in connection with Chapra Mufassil P.S. Case No.
11 of 2024, F.I.R. dated 05.01.2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 307/34 of IPC and Section 27 of
Arms Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he
alongwith other co-accused persons fired upon the informant
and the informant has received the Gun shot shot injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and
fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as



alleged in the FIR. As per allegation in the FIR, the petitioner and co-accused, namely, Mihun Kumar fired upon the informant and the informant has received the bullet injury. Further submits that for the same set of allegation, co-accused person, namely, Mithun Kumar has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 28.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.8600 of 2025. The police, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 31.10.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner was involved in the present crime in question and apart from that, the informant has received the bullet injury and apart from that, the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but he fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the said case, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, co-accused person has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court as well as the period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of learned J.M.F.C., Saran at Chapra in connection with Chapra Mufassil P.S. Case No. 11 of 2024, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

