

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16223 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-433 Year-2021 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - ROSERA Dis-
trict- Samastipur

=====

Nand Kumar Ray S/o Ramchandra Ray R/o Village- Pandh, PS- Dalsingh-
sarai, Distt- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sangita Kumari W/o Nand Kumar Ray, D/o Ram Kisun Ray R/o vill -
Pandh, P.S.- Dalsinghsarai, Distt.- Samastipur, Presently R/o vill - Kalyan-
pur ward no. 5, P.S.- Vibhutipur, Distt.- Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Khushi Awadh
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Pradeep Narain Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 11-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned coun-
sel for the complainant - Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Complaint Case vide C.R. No. - 433 of 2021 registered for the
offence punishable under Section 341, 323, 498A, 313, 307, 406
and 379 of the I.P.C., 3, 4, 6 of the D.P. Act but process issued
under Section 498A, 325 and 379 of the I.P.C.

3. The prosecution case as per the complaint petition is that
the marriage of the complainant was solemnized with the
petitioner according to Hindu rites and rituals on 09.05.2014. It
is alleged that after the marriage the complainant went to her
matrimonial home where she was pressurized to bring a



four-wheeler and due to non fulfillment of the demand the petitioner and other family members tortured the complainant - Opposite Party No. 2 physically as well as mentally and finally ousted the Opposite Party No. 2 from her matrimonial home.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. However, without prejudice to the right and contention of the petitioner and in order to maintain harmony in the family, the petitioner undertakes to pay a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 as “living cost” subject to the final outcome of the present case as well as matrimonial case, if any, pending and / or decided between the parties.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant / Opposite Party No. 2 submits that the complainant / Opposite Party No. 2 is at the verge of starvation, having no source of income, and accepts the offer so made by the petitioner and submits that the petitioner may be directed to deposit the said amount of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of the complainant / Opposite Party No. 2, details of which shall be furnished by learned counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 to learned counsel for the petitioner within ten days from today.



6. After having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that offer made by the petitioner has been accepted by the Opposite Party No. 2, as such, I am inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest / surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Anurag Verma, learned Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rosera, Samastipur in connection with Complaint Case vide CR No. 433 of 2021 subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. as well as the following condition:-

(i) that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month in bank account of the Opposite Party No. 2 positively by the 7th day of every month starting from the month of June, 2026.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

