

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17470 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-294 Year-2025 Thana- KUSHESHWARASTHAN District-
Darbhanga

=====

Amarjeet Yadav @ Amarjit Yadav S/o Suryanarayan Yadav R/o Village -
Ghordaur, P.S - Tilleshwar, District - Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nawal Kishor Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 109(2), 308(4), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS,
2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of five cases and the informant alleges
that a truck with liquor was seized for which Singhiya P.S. Case
No. 163 of 2025 was instituted, the accused suspected that the
truck was seized on account of information provided by his sons
Surendra and Indradev, further his sons were returning after
purchasing vegetables when they were intercepted by the



accused persons including the petitioner along with 5-10 unknown accused and petitioner with shocker pipe and Surendra along with Nitesh with rod assaulted his sons, further petitioner along with Surendra and Chandan assaulted by butt of pistol on head and even took their signatures on blank paper and demanded extortion of Rs. 25 Lakhs and Krishna threatened that because of them, his truck was seized.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant alleges that petitioner along with named accused persons assaulted his sons, but then the injured sustained only one injury in the form of tenderness over the left thigh and x-ray report shows no bonee injury, it is thus submitted that the injured suffered a minor injury hence the allegation of assault does not get corroborated by the injury report. It is next submitted that Nitesh Kumar Singh had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 25896 of 2026 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 24.04.2026 passed by a learned Co-ordinate Bench.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the



anticipatory bail application of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of five cases and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner may abscond, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kusheshwar Asthan P.S. Case No. 294 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father namely Suryanarayan Yadav.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the



investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

