

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18092 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-19 Year-2025 Thana- JOGAPATTI District- West Champaran

Sandeep Kumar Patel @ Sandeep Kumar Son of Bhikhari Patel R/o Village -
Machhargawa, P.S. - Yogapatti, Dist. - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X Son of Y R/o Village - Kudiya, Rampurwa, P.S. - Yogapatti, Dist. - West Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar Shukla, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2) and 96 of the B.N.S..

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 05.01.2025 at about 7 PM, minor daughter of informant went to attend call of nature where this petitioner, along with other F.I.R. named accused persons, kidnapped her for the purpose of marriage.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on



behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. The present F.I.R. has been lodged after inordinate delay of 7 days and there is no plausible explanation for the same, which itself makes the entire prosecution case doubtful. During investigation, the victim returned and in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. wherein she claimed herself to be major and denied the *factum* of kidnapping and categorically stated that her mother and father used to commit torture and she left her house, out of her own sweet will and no one kidnapped her. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Yoagapatti P.S. Case No. 19 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

