

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16777 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-296 Year-2025 Thana- MASAUDHI District- Patna

Niranjan Kumar Son of Mithu Prasad Resident of Village - Visambhar Tola,
Kandap, P.S. - Gaurichak, Dist. - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Pramila Devi W/o Arjun Singh R/o 1A 367 Vidyut Vihar Colony,
Shaktinagar Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh, at Present R/o Taregna Dih,
Masaurhi, Distt. - Patna, 804452.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Akshay Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case

registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and

3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, marriage of daughter of

informant was solemnized with this petitioner as per Hindu rites

and rituals. It is alleged that thereafter, all the F.I.R. named

accused persons, including this petitioner, harassed and tortured

the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and

subsequently, committed her murder.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of the deceased. As a matter of fact, the deceased committed suicide by consuming poison at her parental house. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along with his family members, committed torture and harassment with daughter of informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry due to which, she committed suicide. Petitioner is husband of the deceased who died unnatural death.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, gravity of offence and the fact that petitioner is husband of deceased, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

