

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18640 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-680 Year-2019 Thana- BIHTA District- Patna

1. Uday Yadav @ Uday Kumar S/O Late Arbind Kumar @ Bhuletan Yadav R/O Bihta Tola, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna
2. Dhun Devi @ Sunita Devi W/O Uday Yadav @ Uday Kumar R/O Bihta Tola, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna
3. Lalita Devi W/O Gope Yadav @ Mukesh Kumar R/O Bihta Tola, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Patanjali Rishi, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-05-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304B, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of her daughter was solemnized with co-accused Deepu Kumar on 25.06.2018 as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, committed torture and harassment with the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and



subsequently, all the accused persons committed murder of the victim.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be brother-in-law and Petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 happen to be sisters-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against these petitioners. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who has already been acquitted vide judgment dated 22.07.2023 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Danapur, Patna in connection with Sessions Trial Nos. 497 of 2022 and 498 of 2022.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean



antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate II, Danapur, Patna in connection with Bihta P.S. Case No. 680 of 2019, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

